

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

THE RESEARCH FOUNDATION FOR THE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK,
UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, and
WORCESTER POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., and
SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:23-CV-00141-RWS-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS
RELATED TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”) file this Unopposed Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limits Related to Motions for Summary Judgment and would show the Court as follows:

On March 17, 2025, Defendants filed their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (“Non-Infringement MSJ”) (Dkt. No. 197) and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that the Asserted Claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,872,652 Are Patent Ineligible (“Patent Ineligible MSJ”) (Dkt. No. 198). Defendants’ Non-Infringement MSJ was twenty-two pages. Defendants’ Patent Ineligible MSJ was seventeen pages. Each of the above-referenced motions address important issues that, though not case dispositive, are dispositive as to the patents addressed in the motions. Defendants respectfully seek the Court’s leave to exceed the Court’s fifteen-page limit for non-dispositive motions. Specifically, Defendants request an additional

seven pages for their Non-Infringement MSJ and an additional two pages for their Patent Ineligible MSJ. Defendants also request a reciprocal extension for Plaintiffs The Research Foundation for the State University of New York's, University of Connecticut's, and Worcester Polytechnic Institute's ("Plaintiffs") Response in Opposition to Defendants' Non-Infringement MSJ and Patent Ineligibility MSJ.

Defendants represent that good cause exists to grant leave for Defendants and Plaintiffs to exceed the page limits relating to non-dispositive motions. Due to the complex nature of the issues and law related to Defendants' Non-Infringement MSJ and Patent Ineligibility MSJ, the additional pages are necessary in order to adequately explain the issues.

Counsel for Defendants met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs to discuss the substantive relief sought in this Motion, and counsel for Plaintiffs indicated that Plaintiffs are unopposed to the relief sought in this Motion.

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant this Unopposed Motion and enter an order granting (1) Defendants leave to exceed the Court's fifteen-page limit for non-dispositive motions with respect to Defendants' Non-Infringement MSJ and Patent Ineligibility MSJ and (2) Plaintiffs leave to exceed the Court's fifteen-page limit for their Responses in Opposition to Defendants' Non-Infringement MSJ and Patent Ineligibility MSJ.

Dated: March 24, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith
Texas Bar No. 24001351
GILLAM & SMITH, LLP
303 South Washington Avenue
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 934-8450
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257
Email: melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com

Frank A. DeCosta
Parmanand K. Sharma (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Christopher T. Blackford (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Daniel G. Chung (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Nicholas A. Cerulli (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Alexander E. Harding (*Pro Hac Vice*)
**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP**
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-4413
Telephone: (202) 408-4000

Charles H. Suh (*Pro Hac Vice*)
**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP**
1875 Explorer Street, 8th Floor
Reston, Virginia 20190-6023
Telephone: (571) 203-2700

Benjamin Schlesinger (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Shawn S. Chang (*Pro Hac Vice*)
David A. Lebby (*Pro Hac Vice*)
**FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP**
271 17th Street NW, Suite 1400
Atlanta, Georgia 30363-6209
Telephone: (404) 653-6400

Attorneys for Defendants
*Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics
America, Inc., and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned hereby certifies that counsel for Defendants met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs to discuss the substantive relief sought in this Motion pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(h). Counsel for Plaintiffs indicated that Plaintiffs are unopposed to the relief sought in this Motion.

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV- 5(a)(3) on March 24, 2025.

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith